

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:48:58PM
 PAGE: 1 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
<u>1-1-1 INTAKE AND ACCESS</u>					
5 AVG CONSUMERS: MR ASSESS/COORD					
Quarter 1	11,490.00	10,804.00	10,804.00	94.03 % *	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of consumers served in Q1 is low due to the timing between the quarter closing and final submission of the data. Centers are allowed 90 days from the last day of the quarter closing to adjust the number of consumers they served.					
Quarter 2	11,490.00	10,990.00	10,897.00	94.84 % *	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Consumers that accepted and transferred to HCS waiver services could no longer receive service coordination services from the community centers.					
Quarter 3	11,490.00	11,156.00	11,023.00	95.94 %	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
Quarter 4	11,490.00	11,102.00	11,043.00	96.11 %	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>1-1-2 LTC FUNCTIONAL ELIGIBILITY</u>					
1 NUMBER ELIGIBLE: COMMUNITY CARE					
Quarter 1	188,218.00	169,127.00	169,127.00	89.86 % *	178,807.10 - 197,628.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The variance is a result of a combination of factors resulting from reductions in the Primary Home Care growth rate as well as zero growth in Community Attendant Services. First quarter data indicate that the Medicaid Estate Recovery program (MERP) may be impacting enrollment in these services. Beginning January 2006, DADS staff are re-contacting those individuals who have declined services, re-explaining MERP, and offering them an opportunity to enroll.					
Quarter 2	188,218.00	169,187.00	169,157.00	89.87 % *	178,807.10 - 197,628.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The variance is a result of a combination of factors resulting from reductions in the Primary Home Care growth rate as well as zero growth in Community Attendant Services (formerly Frail Elderly) which have been impacted by the Medicaid Estate Recovery program.					
Quarter 3	188,218.00	169,841.00	169,385.00	89.99 % *	178,807.10 - 197,628.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The variance is a result of a combination of factors resulting from reductions in the Primary Home Care growth rate as well as zero growth in Community Attendant Services (formerly Frail Elderly) which have been impacted by the Medicaid Estate Recovery program.					
Quarter 4	188,218.00	172,263.00	170,105.00	90.38 % *	178,807.10 - 197,628.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The variance is a result of a combination of factors resulting from reductions in the Primary Home Care growth rate as well as zero growth in Community Attendant Services (formerly Frail Elderly) which have been impacted by the Medicaid Estate Recovery program.					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 2 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
4 # GUARDIANSHIP CLIENTS					
Quarter 1	873.00	859.00	859.00	98.40 %	829.35 - 916.65
Quarter 2	873.00	907.00	883.00	101.15 %	829.35 - 916.65
Quarter 3	873.00	964.00	910.00	104.24 %	829.35 - 916.65
Quarter 4	873.00	999.00	932.00	106.76 % *	829.35 - 916.65
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> As a result of reform activities, including increased staffing levels in Adult Protective Services, the number of referrals from the Department of Family and Protective Services has increased.					
<u>1-2-1 PRIMARY HOME CARE</u>					
1 AVG #: PRIMARY HOME CARE					
Quarter 1	68,904.00	64,071.00	64,071.00	92.99 % *	65,458.80 - 72,349.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. First quarter figures indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
Quarter 2	68,904.00	64,373.00	64,222.00	93.21 % *	65,458.80 - 72,349.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to-date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
Quarter 3	68,904.00	64,950.00	64,465.00	93.56 % *	65,458.80 - 72,349.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
Quarter 4	68,904.00	64,585.00	64,495.00	93.60 % *	65,458.80 - 72,349.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<u>1-2-2 COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 3 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 AVG #: COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SER					
Quarter 1	49,206.00	44,878.00	44,878.00	91.20 % *	46,745.70 - 51,666.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2006. Caseloads have remained relatively flat since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. First quarter figures indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
Quarter 2	49,206.00	44,221.00	44,549.00	90.54 % *	46,745.70 - 51,666.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2006. Caseloads have remained relatively flat since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
Quarter 3	49,206.00	43,550.00	44,216.00	89.86 % *	46,745.70 - 51,666.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2006. Caseloads have remained relatively flat since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
Quarter 4	49,206.00	42,325.00	43,743.00	88.90 % *	46,745.70 - 51,666.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2006. Caseloads have remained relatively flat since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
<u>1-2-3 DAY ACTIVITY & HEALTH SERVICES</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: DAY ACTIVITY					
Quarter 1	18,937.00	18,608.00	18,608.00	98.26 %	17,990.15 - 19,883.85
Quarter 2	18,937.00	18,609.00	18,609.00	98.27 %	17,990.15 - 19,883.85
Quarter 3	18,937.00	18,730.00	18,649.00	98.48 %	17,990.15 - 19,883.85
Quarter 4	18,937.00	18,937.00	18,668.00	98.58 %	17,990.15 - 19,883.85
<u>1-3-1 COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 4 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: CBA WAIVER					
Quarter 1	26,867.00	25,393.00	25,393.00	94.51 % *	25,523.65 - 28,210.35
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the interest list for this program during the first quarter of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated, in part due to the implementation of MERP. Beginning January 2006, DADS staff are re-contacting those individuals who have declined services due to MERP, re-explaining MERP, and offering them an opportunity to enroll.					
Quarter 2	26,867.00	25,594.00	25,493.00	94.89 % *	25,523.65 - 28,210.35
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the interest list for this program during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated, in part due to the implementation of MERP. Beginning January 2006, DADS staff began re-contacting those individuals who have declined services due to MERP, re-explaining MERP, and offering them an opportunity to enroll. In addition, DADS regional staff were initially given monthly enrollment targets in order to avoid ramping up too quickly. However, because of the slow enrollment to date, staff were recently instructed to enroll clients as quickly as possible, not to exceed their year-end target for FY 2006.					
Quarter 3	26,867.00	26,786.00	25,924.00	96.49 %	25,523.65 - 28,210.35
Quarter 4	26,867.00	29,158.00	26,733.00	99.50 %	25,523.65 - 28,210.35
<u>1-3-2 HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: HCS					
Quarter 1	9,744.00	9,701.00	9,701.00	99.56 %	9,256.80 - 10,231.20
Quarter 2	9,744.00	10,182.00	9,942.00	102.03 %	9,256.80 - 10,231.20
Quarter 3	9,744.00	10,580.00	10,138.00	104.04 %	9,256.80 - 10,231.20
Quarter 4	9,744.00	10,859.00	10,318.00	105.89 % *	9,256.80 - 10,231.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> In addition to appropriated HCS slots, HCS enrollments are increasing due to ICF/MR facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS from ICF/MR.					
<u>1-3-3 COMMUNITY LIVING ASSISTANCE (CLASS)</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 5 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: CLASS WAIVER					
Quarter 1	2,228.00	1,792.00	1,792.00	80.43 % *	2,116.60 - 2,339.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 22.6% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. Although a significant number of persons on the CLASS interest list were contacted during the first quarter of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 2	2,228.00	1,900.00	1,846.00	82.85 % *	2,116.60 - 2,339.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 22.6% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. Although a significant number of persons on the CLASS interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures. In addition, because of slow enrollment to-date, DADS has recently authorized staff to begin contacting, as soon as possible, all persons on the CLASS interest list whose names are anticipated to come up for release between now and November 2006.					
Quarter 3	2,228.00	2,110.00	1,934.00	86.80 % *	2,116.60 - 2,339.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 22.6% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. Although a significant number of persons on the CLASS interest list have been contacted, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures. In addition, because of slow enrollment to-date, DADS has recently authorized staff to begin contacting, as soon as possible, all persons on the CLASS interest list whose names are anticipated to come up for release between now and November 2006.					
Quarter 4	2,228.00	2,373.00	2,044.00	91.74 % *	2,116.60 - 2,339.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 22.6% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. Although a significant number of persons on the CLASS interest list have been contacted, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures. In addition, because of slow enrollment to date, DADS has authorized staff to contact all persons on the CLASS interest list whose names are anticipated to come up for release between now and November 2006.					
<u>1-3-4 DEAF-BLIND MULTIPLE DISABILITIES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 6 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: DBMD WAIVER					
Quarter 1	148.00	131.00	131.00	88.51 % *	140.60 - 155.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first quarter of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 2	148.00	129.00	130.00	87.84 % *	140.60 - 155.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 3	148.00	131.00	131.00	88.51 % *	140.60 - 155.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list have been contacted, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 4	148.00	132.00	131.00	88.51 % *	140.60 - 155.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
<u>1-3-5 MEDICALLY DEPENDENT CHILDREN PGM</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 7 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: MDCP WAIVER					
Quarter 1	1,320.00	896.00	896.00	67.88 % *	1,254.00 - 1,386.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 34.3% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list during the first quarter of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 2	1,320.00	935.00	915.00	69.32 % *	1,254.00 - 1,386.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 34.3% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 3	1,320.00	980.00	937.00	70.98 % *	1,254.00 - 1,386.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 34.3% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
Quarter 4	1,320.00	1,028.00	960.00	72.73 % *	1,254.00 - 1,386.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2006 appropriations provided for a 34.3% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
<u>1-3-7 TEXAS HOME LIVING WAIVER</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 8 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 AVG #: TX HOME LIVING WAIVER					
Quarter 1	2,811.00	1,899.00	1,899.00	67.56 % *	2,670.45 - 2,951.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
Quarter 2	2,811.00	1,829.00	1,864.00	66.31 % *	2,670.45 - 2,951.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
Quarter 3	2,811.00	1,811.00	1,847.00	65.71 % *	2,670.45 - 2,951.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
Quarter 4	2,811.00	1,863.00	1,851.00	65.85 % *	2,670.45 - 2,951.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
<u>1-4-1 NON-MEDICAID SERVICES-TITLE XX</u>					
1 AVG #: NON MEDICAID CC (XX)					
Quarter 1	12,952.00	13,566.00	13,566.00	104.74 %	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
Quarter 2	12,952.00	13,508.00	13,537.00	104.52 %	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
Quarter 3	12,952.00	13,534.00	13,536.00	104.51 %	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
Quarter 4	12,952.00	14,236.00	13,711.00	105.86 % *	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed us to serve more clients.					
<u>1-4-3 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG #: MR COMMUNITY SERVICES					
Quarter 1	10,137.00	10,084.00	10,084.00	99.48 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
Quarter 2	10,137.00	10,135.00	10,110.00	99.73 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
Quarter 3	10,137.00	10,283.00	10,167.00	100.30 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
Quarter 4	10,137.00	10,207.00	10,177.00	100.39 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
<u>1-4-4 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES RESIDENTIAL</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 9 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 AVG #: NON MEDICAID RESIDENTIA					
Quarter 1	95.00	82.00	82.00	86.32 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in number of consumers is due to the refinancing of consumers into the waiver programs and transfers to other programs.					
Quarter 2	95.00	87.00	84.00	88.42 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in number of consumers is due to the refinancing of consumers into the waiver programs and transfers to other programs.					
Quarter 3	95.00	82.00	83.00	87.37 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in number of consumers is due to the refinancing of consumers into the waiver programs and transfers to other programs.					
Quarter 4	95.00	76.00	82.00	86.32 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in number of consumers is due to the refinancing of consumers into the waiver programs and transfers to other programs.					
1-4-6 NUTRITION SERVICES					
2 # MEALS: CONGREGATE					
Quarter 1	3,963,660.00	803,316.00	803,316.00	20.27 %	792,732.00 - 1,189,098.00
Quarter 2	3,963,660.00	850,450.00	1,653,766.00	41.72 % *	1,783,647.00 - 2,180,013.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> AAAs have adjusted the number of meals purchased with funds received from the Department. The adjustments are due to reclassification of funding sources and corrections of overstatements of meals provided.					
Quarter 3	3,963,660.00	934,501.00	2,588,267.00	65.30 % *	2,774,562.00 - 3,170,928.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> AAAs have adjusted the number of meals purchased with funds received from the Department. The adjustments are due to reclassification of funding sources and corrections of overstatements of meals provided					
Quarter 4	3,963,660.00	862,574.00	3,450,841.00	87.06 % *	3,765,477.00 - 4,161,843.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Due to increased cost of food and fuel cost AAAs have had to transfer funds from the congregate meal program to the home delivered meal program. The transfer of funds between programs has allowed the home delivered program to continue to provide meals to those most in need, the homebound frail elderly, at the projected level of service.					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 10 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
4 # MEALS: HOME-DELIVERED					
Quarter 1	4,173,305.00	990,339.00	990,339.00	23.73 %	834,661.00 - 1,251,991.50
Quarter 2	4,173,305.00	1,098,729.00	2,089,068.00	50.06 %	1,877,987.25 - 2,295,317.75
Quarter 3	4,173,305.00	1,152,754.00	3,241,822.00	77.68 %	2,921,313.50 - 3,338,644.00
Quarter 4	4,173,305.00	969,370.00	4,211,192.00	100.91 %	3,964,639.75 - 4,381,970.25
<u>1-4-8 IN-HOME AND FAMILY SUPPORT</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: IHFS					
Quarter 1	3,364.00	3,930.00	3,930.00	116.83 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Payments for subsidies are made after the request for payment is submitted by the client. Payment requests may be submitted at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control. Additional requests for payment have been received since first quarter data was initially reported. The average monthly cost per client has been significantly lower than projected, which allows the agency to serve more clients than anticipated.					
Quarter 2	3,364.00	3,908.00	3,919.00	116.50 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Payments for subsidies are made after requests for payments are submitted by the client. Clients may submit payment requests at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control. More requests for payment have been received than had been anticipated. However, the average monthly cost per client has been significantly lower than anticipated, which is allowing the agency to serve a greater number of persons.					
Quarter 3	3,364.00	3,906.00	3,918.33	116.48 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> More clients are being served than projected due to additional funds received to serve clients on the interest lists.					
Quarter 4	3,364.00	4,012.00	3,944.83	117.27 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> More clients are being served than projected due to additional funds received to serve clients on the interest lists.					
<u>1-4-9 MENTAL RETARDATION IN-HOME SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 11 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 # CONSUMERS: MR RECEIVING IHFS					
Quarter 1	2,674.00	1,765.00	1,765.00	66.01 % *	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Each community center is required to develop a plan for the fiscal year that identifies the number of qualified persons to whom MR in-home and family support services will be provided during the year. Centers have until the end of the second quarter to submit these plans. As these plans are being developed some clients are approved to receive services, and at this time their annual grant amount is also determined. Consequently, fewer clients will be served during the first quarter, with the number of clients served continuing to increase throughout the fiscal year.					
Quarter 2	2,674.00	2,208.00	2,208.00	82.57 % *	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Some centers have not yet finalized their plan for this program.					
Quarter 3	2,674.00	2,456.00	2,456.00	91.85 % *	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated annual cost per consumer is based on historical data. General revenue dollars have been recouped and redistributed among the Centers so that they can maximize the number of consumers served as cost effectively as possible.					
Quarter 4	2,674.00	2,688.00	2,688.00	100.52 %	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>1-5-1 ALL-INCLUSIVE CARE - ELDERLY (PACE)</u>					
1 AVG #: RECEIPIENTS (PACE)					
Quarter 1	877.00	903.00	903.00	102.96 %	833.15 - 920.85
Quarter 2	877.00	903.00	903.00	102.96 %	833.15 - 920.85
Quarter 3	877.00	902.00	903.00	102.96 %	833.15 - 920.85
Quarter 4	877.00	916.00	906.00	103.31 %	833.15 - 920.85
<u>1-6-1 NURSING FACILITY PAYMENTS</u>					
1 # OF PERSONS: MEDICAID NURSING FAC					
Quarter 1	59,764.00	58,593.00	58,593.00	98.04 %	56,775.80 - 62,752.20
Quarter 2	59,764.00	57,702.00	58,148.00	97.30 %	56,775.80 - 62,752.20
Quarter 3	59,764.00	57,047.00	57,781.00	96.68 %	56,775.80 - 62,752.20
Quarter 4	59,764.00	57,391.00	57,683.00	96.52 %	56,775.80 - 62,752.20
<u>1-6-2 MEDICARE SKILLED NURSING FACILITY</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 12 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 # CLIENTS: NURSING FAC COPAY					
Quarter 1	6,486.00	5,734.00	5,734.00	88.41 % *	6,161.70 - 6,810.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, we have experienced seasonality in the number of persons served in this program. We expect the annual average to be within tolerance.					
Quarter 2	6,486.00	6,020.00	5,877.00	90.61 % *	6,161.70 - 6,810.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has slowed.					
Quarter 3	6,486.00	6,458.00	6,071.00	93.60 % *	6,161.70 - 6,810.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has slowed.					
Quarter 4	6,486.00	6,153.00	6,091.00	93.91 % *	6,161.70 - 6,810.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has slowed.					
<u>1-6-3 HOSPICE</u>					
1 NUMBER OF HOSPICE CLIENTS					
Quarter 1	4,916.00	4,982.00	4,982.00	101.34 %	4,670.20 - 5,161.80
Quarter 2	4,916.00	5,169.00	5,075.00	103.23 %	4,670.20 - 5,161.80
Quarter 3	4,916.00	5,311.00	5,154.00	104.84 %	4,670.20 - 5,161.80
Quarter 4	4,916.00	5,375.00	5,209.00	105.96 % *	4,670.20 - 5,161.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has increased.					
<u>1-6-4 PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 13 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 AVG #: PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE					
Quarter 1	4,098.00	4,638.00	4,638.00	113.18 % *	3,893.10 - 4,302.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.					
Quarter 2	4,098.00	4,781.00	4,710.00	114.93 % *	3,893.10 - 4,302.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.					
Quarter 3	4,098.00	4,949.00	4,789.00	116.86 % *	3,893.10 - 4,302.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.					
Quarter 4	4,098.00	5,048.00	4,854.00	118.45 % *	3,893.10 - 4,302.90
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.					
<u>1-7-1 INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES - MR</u>					
1 AVG #: IN ICF/MR BEDS PER MTH					
Quarter 1	7,308.00	6,980.00	6,980.00	95.51 %	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
Quarter 2	7,308.00	6,892.00	6,936.00	94.91 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of clients in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.					
Quarter 3	7,308.00	6,795.00	6,889.00	94.27 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of clients in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.					
Quarter 4	7,308.00	6,743.00	6,853.00	93.77 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of clients in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.					
<u>1-8-1 MR STATE SCHOOLS SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 14 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 AVG MTHLY # MR CAMPUS RESIDENT					
Quarter 1	5,007.00	4,996.00	4,996.00	99.78 %	4,756.65 - 5,257.35
Quarter 2	5,007.00	4,933.00	4,950.00	98.86 %	4,756.65 - 5,257.35
Quarter 3	5,007.00	4,915.00	4,937.00	98.60 %	4,756.65 - 5,257.35
Quarter 4	5,007.00	4,914.00	4,933.00	98.52 %	4,756.65 - 5,257.35
<u>2-1-1 LTC FACILITY REGULATION</u>					
9 TOTAL AMOUNT COLLECTED FROM FINES					
Quarter 1	3,681,452.48	385,377.57	385,377.57	10.47 % *	736,290.50 - 1,104,435.74
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. Facilities may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, facilities may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
Quarter 2	3,681,452.48	345,845.32	731,222.89	19.86 % *	1,656,653.62 - 2,024,798.86
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. The facility may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
Quarter 3	3,681,452.48	277,095.18	1,008,318.07	27.39 % *	2,577,016.74 - 2,945,161.98
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS Regulatory Services does not directly control this measure. Facilities may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
Quarter 4	3,681,452.48	472,058.15	1,480,376.22	40.21 % *	3,497,379.86 - 3,865,525.10
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. The facility may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
<u>2-1-2 LTC CREDENTIALING</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 15 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 LICENSES ISSUED/YR: NURS FAC ADMIN					
Quarter 1	980.00	194.00	194.00	19.80 % *	196.00 - 294.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining. The state of Texas trends are also reflecting this decline.					
Quarter 2	980.00	166.00	360.00	36.73 % *	441.00 - 539.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining. The state of Texas trends are also reflecting this decline.					
Quarter 3	980.00	293.00	653.00	66.63 % *	686.00 - 784.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining. The state of Texas trends are also reflecting this decline.					
Quarter 4	980.00	453.00	1,106.00	112.86 % *	931.00 - 1,029.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Licenses are renewed every two years. The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining.					
Efficiency Measures					
<u>1-2-1 PRIMARY HOME CARE</u>					
1 AVG COST: PRIMARY HOME CARE					
Quarter 1	642.07	619.21	619.21	96.44 %	609.97 - 674.17
Quarter 2	642.07	612.44	615.82	95.91 %	609.97 - 674.17
Quarter 3	642.07	629.01	620.25	96.60 %	609.97 - 674.17
Quarter 4	642.07	627.31	622.02	96.88 %	609.97 - 674.17
<u>1-2-2 COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: **10/6/2006**
 TIME: **3:49:15PM**
 PAGE: **16 OF 26**

Agency code: **539**

Agency name: **AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES**

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 AVG COST: COMMUNITY ATTENDANT					
Quarter 1	627.41	604.83	604.83	96.40 %	596.04 - 658.78
Quarter 2	627.41	599.73	602.30	96.00 %	596.04 - 658.78
Quarter 3	627.41	617.14	607.17	96.77 %	596.04 - 658.78
Quarter 4	627.41	613.92	608.80	97.03 %	596.04 - 658.78
<u>1-2-3 DAY ACTIVITY & HEALTH SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG COST: DAHS					
Quarter 1	481.92	473.19	473.19	98.19 %	457.82 - 506.02
Quarter 2	481.92	464.67	468.93	97.30 %	457.82 - 506.02
Quarter 3	481.92	485.17	474.37	98.43 %	457.82 - 506.02
Quarter 4	481.92	487.65	474.37	98.43 %	457.82 - 506.02
<u>1-3-1 COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 17 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 COST/CLIENT: CBA WAIVER					
Quarter 1	1,387.04	1,289.37	1,289.37	92.96 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, we have experienced seasonality in the average cost of this program. We expect the annual average to be within tolerance.					
Quarter 2	1,387.04	1,271.38	1,280.34	92.31 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the first half experience for FY 2006 shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
Quarter 3	1,387.04	1,302.24	1,287.89	92.85 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for the first three quarters of FY 2006 shows a reduction in costs from FY 2005.					
Quarter 4	1,387.04	1,289.10	1,288.22	92.88 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 shows a reduction in the average cost per client from FY 2005.					
1-3-2 HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 18 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 COST PER CLIENT: HCS					
Quarter 1	3,500.77	3,162.00	3,162.00	90.32 % *	3,325.73 - 3,675.81
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,500.77 assumed funding of the Qualified Assurance Fee (QAF) in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
Quarter 2	3,500.77	3,171.00	3,165.00	90.41 % *	3,325.73 - 3,675.81
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,500.77 assumed funding of the Qualified Assurance Fee (QAF) in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
Quarter 3	3,500.77	3,218.00	3,183.00	90.92 % *	3,325.73 - 3,675.81
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,500.77 assumed funding of the Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
Quarter 4	3,500.77	3,229.00	3,195.00	91.27 % *	3,325.73 - 3,675.81
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,500.77 assumed funding of the Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
1-3-3 COMMUNITY LIVING ASSISTANCE (CLASS)					
1 COST/CLIENT: CLASS WAIVER					
Quarter 1	3,293.61	2,939.36	2,939.36	89.24 % *	3,128.93 - 3,458.29
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3,021.28. We are at 98.2% of what the target would have been excluding QAF.					
Quarter 2	3,293.61	2,863.41	2,900.28	88.06 % *	3,128.93 - 3,458.29
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3,021.28. We are at 97.1% of what the target would have been excluding QAF.					
Quarter 3	3,293.61	2,891.41	2,897.05	87.96 % *	3,128.93 - 3,458.29
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3021.28. We are at 97.8 of what the target would have been excluding QAF.					
Quarter 4	3,293.61	2,903.54	2,898.94	88.02 % *	3,128.93 - 3,458.29
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3021.28. We are at 96% of what the target would have been excluding QAF.					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 19 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
<u>1-3-4 DEAF-BLIND MULTIPLE DISABILITIES</u>					
1 COST/CLIENT: DBMD					
Quarter 1	3,671.62	3,767.13	3,767.13	102.60 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
Quarter 2	3,671.62	3,814.51	3,790.64	103.24 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
Quarter 3	3,671.62	3,795.52	3,792.27	103.29 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
Quarter 4	3,671.62	3,754.52	3,782.77	103.03 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
<u>1-3-5 MEDICALLY DEPENDENT CHILDREN PGM</u>					
1 COST/CLIENT: MDCP WAIVER					
Quarter 1	1,419.96	1,297.67	1,297.67	91.39 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, we have experienced seasonality in the average cost of this program. We expect the annual average to be within tolerance.					
Quarter 2	1,419.96	1,270.03	1,283.56	90.39 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005, we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through this Fiscal Year. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
Quarter 3	1,419.96	1,308.94	1,292.41	91.02 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005 we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through this Fiscal Year. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
Quarter 4	1,419.96	1,429.60	1,329.15	93.60 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005 we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through this Fiscal Year. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
<u>1-3-7 TEXAS HOME LIVING WAIVER</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 20 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 AVG CST: TX HOME LIVING WAIVER					
Quarter 1	586.62	443.00	443.00	75.52 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
Quarter 2	586.62	437.00	440.00	75.01 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
Quarter 3	586.62	449.00	443.00	75.52 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
Quarter 4	586.62	453.00	445.00	75.86 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
<u>1-4-1 NON-MEDICAID SERVICES-TITLE XX</u>					
1 AVG COST: NON MEDICAID CC (XX)					
Quarter 1	486.30	449.55	449.55	92.44 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed us to serve more clients.					
Quarter 2	486.30	453.31	451.43	92.83 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed us to serve more clients.					
Quarter 3	486.30	461.20	454.68	93.50 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed us to serve more clients.					
Quarter 4	486.30	447.76	452.89	93.13 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed us to serve more clients.					

1-4-4 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES RESIDENTIAL

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 21 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 AVG CST: NON MEDICAID RESIDENT					
Quarter 1	3,431.00	2,941.63	2,941.63	85.74 % *	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in average cost is due to consumers with higher average costs being transferred out to other programs.					
Quarter 2	3,431.00	2,886.89	2,914.26	84.94 % *	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in average cost is due to consumers with higher average costs being transferred out to other programs.					
Quarter 3	3,431.00	3,022.04	2,959.31	86.25 % *	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in average cost is due to consumers with higher average costs being transferred out to other programs.					
Quarter 4	3,431.00	3,021.57	2,959.19	86.25 % *	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The decrease in average cost is due to consumers with higher average costs being transferred out to other programs.					
1-4-8 IN-HOME AND FAMILY SUPPORT					
1 COST PER MONTH: IHFS CLIENT					
Quarter 1	102.19	77.51	77.51	75.85 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> As more clients submitted payments, there was an increase in the amount expended for this quarter; the cost per client increased proportionately.					
Quarter 2	102.19	78.55	78.03	76.36 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected. Payments for subsidies are made after the client submits a request for payment. Clients may submit payment requests at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control.					
Quarter 3	102.19	77.98	77.84	76.17 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected. Payments for subsidies are made after the client submits a request for payment. Clients may submit payment requests at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control.					
Quarter 4	102.19	74.94	77.08	75.43 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected. Payments for subsidies are made after the client submits a request for payment. Clients may submit payment requests at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control.					
1-4-9 MENTAL RETARDATION IN-HOME SERVICES					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 22 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 AVG GRANT: PER CONSUMER INFS					
Quarter 1	1,690.19	2,056.28	2,056.28	121.66 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> At the beginning of the fiscal year, each center is allocated a specific amount of money for MR in-home and family support services. Each center is then required to develop a plan that identifies the number of qualified persons they intend to serve and the anticipated amount of each client's grant. Each of the centers plan must be within their allocated funding amounts. Client grants vary depending on the individual's needs, income and application of a sliding co-pay scale. The maximum grant amount per client is \$2,500 per year.					
Quarter 2	1,690.19	1,983.28	1,983.28	117.34 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated annual cost per consumer is based on historical data. Some centers have not yet finalized their annual plans for this program.					
Quarter 3	1,690.19	2,035.83	2,035.83	120.45 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated annual cost per consumer is based on historical data. General revenue dollars have been recouped and redistributed among the Centers so that they can maximized the number of consumers served as cost effectively as possible.					
Quarter 4	1,690.19	1,799.46	1,799.46	106.46 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated annual cost per consumer is based on historical data. General revenue dollars have been recouped and redistributed among the Centers so that they can maximized the number of consumers served as cost effectively as possible.					
<u>1-5-1 ALL-INCLUSIVE CARE - ELDERLY (PACE)</u>					
1 AVG CST: PER RECEIPIENT (PACE)					
Quarter 1	2,334.05	2,341.60	2,341.60	100.32 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
Quarter 2	2,334.05	2,335.93	2,338.76	100.20 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
Quarter 3	2,334.05	2,337.40	2,338.31	100.18 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
Quarter 4	2,334.05	2,338.77	2,338.43	100.19 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
<u>1-6-1 NURSING FACILITY PAYMENTS</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 23 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
3 NET PAYMENT: NURSING HOME					
Quarter 1	2,869.01	2,259.68	2,259.68	78.76 % *	2,725.56 - 3,012.46
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2,286.98. We are at 98.7% of the target excluding QAF.					
Quarter 2	2,869.01	2,427.62	2,343.01	81.67 % *	2,725.56 - 3,012.46
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2,286.98. We are at 102.2% of the target excluding QAF.					
Quarter 3	2,869.01	2,610.11	2,430.91	84.73 % *	2,725.56 - 3,012.46
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2286.98. We are at 106.1.% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase to Medicaid nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
Quarter 4	2,869.01	2,620.54	2,478.08	86.37 % *	2,725.56 - 3,012.46
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2286.98. We are at 108.4.% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase to Medicaid nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
<u>1-6-2 MEDICARE SKILLED NURSING FACILITY</u>					
1 NET COPAYMENT: NURSING HOME					
Quarter 1	1,623.61	1,565.18	1,565.18	96.40 %	1,542.43 - 1,704.79
Quarter 2	1,623.61	1,590.13	1,577.96	97.19 %	1,542.43 - 1,704.79
Quarter 3	1,623.61	1,667.33	1,609.65	99.14 %	1,542.43 - 1,704.79
Quarter 4	1,623.61	1,679.10	1,627.19	100.22 %	1,542.43 - 1,704.79
<u>1-6-3 HOSPICE</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 24 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 NET PAYMENT: HOSPICE					
Quarter 1	2,419.45	2,167.92	2,167.92	89.60 % *	2,298.48 - 2,540.42
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2,157.46. We are at 100.6% of the target excluding QAF.					
Quarter 2	2,419.45	2,261.03	2,215.33	91.56 % *	2,298.48 - 2,540.42
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2,157.46. We are at 102.8% of the target excluding QAF.					
Quarter 3	2,419.45	2,439.43	2,292.31	94.75 % *	2,298.48 - 2,540.42
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2157.46. We are at 106.2% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase for the Hospice services delivered in nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
Quarter 4	2,419.45	2,482.81	2,341.45	96.78 %	2,298.48 - 2,540.42
<u>1-6-4 PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE SERVICES</u>					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 25 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 AVG COST: PROMOTE INDEPENDENCE					
Quarter 1	1,387.04	1,303.08	1,303.08	93.95 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, we have experienced seasonality in the average cost of this program. We expect the annual average to be within tolerance.					
Quarter 2	1,387.04	1,284.66	1,293.73	93.27 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the first half experience for FY 2006 shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
Quarter 3	1,387.04	1,315.30	1,301.16	93.81 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
Quarter 4	1,387.04	1,307.27	1,302.75	93.92 % *	1,317.69 - 1,456.39
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
1-7-1 INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES - MR					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 10/6/2006
 TIME: 3:49:15PM
 PAGE: 26 OF 26

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2006 Target	2006 Actual	2006 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
1 COST: ICF/MR MEDICAID CONSUMER					
Quarter 1	4,384.91	4,189.00	4,189.00	95.53 %	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
Quarter 2	4,384.91	4,036.00	4,058.00	92.54 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average cost per client in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS. The resulting client mix in ICF/MR results in a lower average cost.					
Quarter 3	4,384.91	4,177.00	4,097.00	93.43 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average cost per client in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS. The resulting client mix in ICF/MR results in a lower average cost.					
Quarter 4	4,384.91	4,124.00	4,104.00	93.59 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average cost per client in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS. The resulting client mix in ICF/MR results in a lower average cost.					
1-8-1 MR STATE SCHOOLS SERVICES					
1 AVG MTHLY CST MR CAMPUS RES					
Quarter 1	6,502.65	7,545.83	7,545.83	116.04 % *	6,177.52 - 6,827.78
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing costs related to inflationary items (i.e. utilities, drugs, etc).					
Quarter 2	6,502.65	7,584.07	7,559.55	116.25 % *	6,177.52 - 6,827.78
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e. utilities, drugs, etc).					
Quarter 3	6,502.65	7,577.92	7,576.39	116.51 % *	6,177.52 - 6,827.78
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e. utilities, drugs, etc).					
Quarter 4	6,502.65	7,559.13	7,593.86	116.78 % *	6,177.52 - 6,827.78
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e. utilities, drugs, etc).					

* Varies by 5% or more from target.